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Miscarriages show not only the structural weakness of the adversary system … but also the reluctance of the system to consider its own mistakes.¹

The pursuit of justice is the foundation of our legal system and it is widely accepted that some of the worst injustices are those that take place in the criminal process, when those who are innocent are wrongly convicted. There are many troubling examples of miscarriages of justice in the criminal law in Australia. There are high profile cases that have a special place in the public imagination, such as Chamberlain.² Other cases that have reached the High Court include Mallard,³ and there are yet others have been the subject of critical extra-judicial scrutiny, such as the recent case of Farah Jama.⁴ But there will be many other cases that have not received such public scrutiny and some will not have been corrected. They may happen on a day-to-day basis at the local courts for less serious charges. They are undetected, unnoticed and invisible.

No single solution exists to address the causes of miscarriages of justice within the criminal justice system. The problem is complex and multifaceted, and what counts as a miscarriage of justice is itself contested.⁵ Discouragingly, in some cases miscarriages might be attributed to factors as fundamental as the structure and organisation of the adversarial system and the jury trial at its heart. Yet, even within the existing constraints legal practitioners and judges should do all they can to minimise such occurrences. The costs of miscarriages of justice can be enormous, in the unjust deprivation of liberty for decades, but also in the resourcing of inquiries, including those that result in posthumous acquittals.⁶
There is a role for public policy and legislative reforms; in this regard, I note South Australia’s new statutory right of appeal as a recent positive step.\footnote{Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA) s 353A.}


The articles demonstrate both strong scholarship and sensible consideration of possible, practical, solutions to the ongoing manifestations system failures that can result in miscarriages of justice. The articles will be of particular interest to practitioners, scholars and policymakers in the Australian states, but they also speak to those in other countries who will be grappling with similar endemic problems and issues.
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